

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,909	02/14/2000	ANNIE MEINIEL	065691/0179	5643
22428 759	90 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/462,909	MEINIEL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olga N. Chernyshev	1646				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a ion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 November 2003</u>. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		forth in			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE helow):				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	300 110 1 E BClow),				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in	••	rially reducing or sim	nolifying the			
issues for appeal; and/or	Tooker form for appear by make	riany roducing or one	ipinying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims	5.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5, 6, 16, 17, 20, 22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant presented arguments which were fully answered before in the previous office actions of record. Applicant is advised that traversal of the restriction requirement is not timely. Also Applicant is advised that the proposed amendment to claim 1 is not entered because claim 1 has not been examined.

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800